

BY MELANIE HODGDON

How *Not* to Discuss Markup With Your Customers

A client of mine, let's call him Jim, recently was put on the spot by a customer for whom Jim had offered a contract price for a sizable project. I should mention that, after my assistance in analyzing his company's historical profitability, Jim has made a commitment to increasing his prices. This job was the first he'd priced since changing his pricing strategy, and he was feeling somewhat insecure about defending the result. Confusing the situation further, the customer had initially worked with a designer who had provided some tentative budget figures. The customer was clinging to those original price suggestions, even though he'd made significant changes to the original plan.

The customer demanded to know Jim's markup. When you're confronted with a similar demand, bear in mind the following as you prepare your response.

COMPARING APPLES AND ORANGES

Markup is irrelevant, because the estimated costs that you "mark up" will vary depending on how you've set up your financials. That means that even if you charge the same price as a competitor, your markup can be vastly different. Let's look at how two different contractors may organize their cost categories (refer to the charts on page 24).

Contractor A has included his labor burdens under Costs of Goods Sold. Therefore, when he estimates what the job will cost, his labor costs will be fully burdened, making the estimated costs high. Because he classifies his production labor burdens in Costs of Goods Sold, his overhead is law.

Contractor B includes only production employee wages in Costs of Goods Sold and includes all burdens in overhead. Therefore, when he estimates what the job will cost, his labor costs are partially burdened, making the estimated costs lower.

Because he classifies his production labor burdens as part of overhead, his overhead is higher.

Both contractors charge exactly the same amount for the job, but because of the organization of their costs in their financials, they will apply very different markups to their estimated costs in order to arrive at the same sales figure. In addition, they both are aiming at the same net margin figure (about 8%).

So when uninformed customers compare Contractor A's markup (36.2%) with Contractor B's markup (69.92%), they think it's obvious that Contractor B is charging much more, even though the sales figures are the same. And this is exactly why bandying about markup figures when talking with customers is pointless.

"Markup is irrelevant, because the estimated costs that you 'mark up' will vary depending on how you've set up your financials."

The better question to ask your customers is whether or not they feel that a net profit of 8% (or 10%, or whatever your target net is) as a reward for the risk of being in business to produce and support a quality result for them is reasonable. Their answer may also be used as a qualifier: If they balk at that, that's an excellent signal that you should walk away.

Melanie Hodgdon, president of Business Systems Management, provides management consulting and coaching for contractors.

Contracto	r A	Contr
Job Sales Price	\$130,000.00	Job Sales Price
Labor Costs		Labor Costs
Wages	\$25,000	Wa
Payroll Taxes	\$4,625	
Workers' Comp	\$3,750	
Liability Insurance	\$550	
Paid Time Off	\$1,500	
Health Insurance	\$4,500	
Retirement	\$750	
Vehicle Costs	\$2,500	
Communication Costs	\$900	
Material Costs	\$20,000	Material Costs
Sub Costs	\$30,000	Sub Costs
Other Direct Costs	\$1,500	Other Direct Costs
Total Estimated Costs	\$95,575	Total Estimated Co
Gross Profit	\$34,425	Gross Profit
Gross Margin	26.48%	Gross Margin
Markup	36.02%	Markup
Markup Overhead Costs	36.02 % \$24,000	Markup Overhead Costs
•		
•		Overhead Costs
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura Paid Time
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura Paid Time Health Insura
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura Paid Time Health Insura Retirem
•		Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura Paid Time Health Insura Retirem Vehicle Co
Overhead Costs	\$24,000	Overhead Costs Payroll Ta Workers' Co Liability Insura Paid Time Health Insura Retirem Vehicle Co

ractor B

\$130,000.00

\$25,000

\$20,000 \$30,000 \$1,500

\$76,500 \$53,500 41.15%

69.93%

\$24,000 \$4,625

\$3,750

\$1,500 \$4,500

\$550

\$750

\$2,500 \$900

\$43,075 \$10,425 8.02%

How you mark up for labor, subcontractors, and materials is not the point a customer should be obsessing over, as these examples show. Contractor A has included his labor burdens in Costs of Goods Sold, so his estimate reflects labor costs that are fully burdened, making the estimated costs high. Because he classifies his production labor burdens in Cost of Goods Sold, his overhead is low. Contractor B, on the other hand, includes only production employee wages in Costs of Goods Sold, and includes all burdens in overhead. Therefore, when he estimates what the job will cost, his labor costs are only partially burdened, making the estimated costs lower. But because he classifies his production labor burdens as part of overhead, his overhead is higher.

JANUARY 2018 / JLC JLCONLINE.COM